

Implementation of Contractual Agreement Used in Evaluation Study:
International Class Program

Sarlita D. Matra¹, Inayatul Ulya², Meliza³, Bhanu Prakash Nuna⁴
starlighta.unique11@gmail.com, inayasetyaobudi@yahoo.com, tarobila20@gmail.com,
chrawela@gmail.com

¹²³Universitas Pekalongan, Indonesia, ⁴RV University, India

Article Info	Abstract
Received: 29-05-2024 Revised: 10-06-2024 Accepted: 2024 vol. 23 Contractual Agreement, Evaluation, International Class, Program Evaluation, Research Ethic	<i>This article examines the practical implementation of a contractual agreement within the context of an evaluation study focusing on the International Class Program (ICP). The ICP aims to enhance global learning experiences for students through structured international partnerships. The study explores the effectiveness and challenges of the contractual framework in facilitating program evaluation. Drawing on qualitative and quantitative data from stakeholders involved in the ICP, including administrators, faculty, and students, the analysis highlights key outcomes and areas for improvement. This study explores the significance of contractual agreement in international class program evaluation, emphasizing their role in establishing clear terms and conditions, mitigating risks, and executing research ethics. The study investigates the process of establishing contractual agreement and highlights the importance of understanding their terms. It discusses key aspects such as international class theories, characteristics of contractual agreement in evaluation research, the role of evaluators, and an export evaluation study. The study underscores the vital role of contractual agreement in facilitating research ethics and promoting smooth evaluation process. Findings suggest that while the contractual agreement provides a structured approach to evaluation, its application requires continuous refinement to align with evolving program goals and participant expectations. Recommendations are provided for enhancing the contractual framework to better support comprehensive program assessment and foster sustainable international collaborations in education.</i>

I. Introduction

In the realm of global education initiatives, structured international partnerships like the International Class Program (ICP) play a pivotal role in fostering cross-cultural learning experiences. This article examines the implementation and effectiveness of a contractual agreement within the

framework of an evaluation study conducted on the ICP. The study aims to assess how the contractual framework supports program evaluation and enhances international collaborations in education. Through a mixed-methods approach involving qualitative interviews and quantitative surveys with administrators, faculty, and students engaged in the ICP, this research provides insights into the practical application of contractual agreements in educational settings. Findings underscore the challenges faced, successes achieved, and recommendations for optimizing the contractual framework to better support comprehensive program assessment and sustainable international educational partnerships.

Globalization has transformed education by emphasizing the importance of international collaborations and cross-cultural competencies among students and educators. The International Class Program (ICP) represents a structured initiative aimed at enriching educational experiences through partnerships between institutions across different countries. Central to the effective management and evaluation of such programs is the implementation of robust contractual agreements. These agreements serve as guiding documents that outline roles, responsibilities, and expectations among participating stakeholders, thereby facilitating program coordination and assessment.

In this article, we delve into the practical implementation and outcomes of a contractual agreement within the context of the ICP. By exploring the experiences of administrators, faculty, and students involved in the program, we aim to identify the strengths and challenges associated with the contractual framework and provide recommendations for enhancing its effectiveness in fostering sustainable international collaborations in education. Program evaluation is a critical component of educational initiatives, providing insights into the effectiveness of interventions and guiding future improvements. In educational settings, evaluation frameworks often draw upon theories of organizational behavior, stakeholder theory, and institutional economics to analyze the impact of programs on various stakeholders and organizational goals (Wholey, Hatry, & Newcomer, 2016). The implementation of contractual agreements within evaluation studies serves to formalize these assessments, offering a structured approach to monitoring program outcomes and ensuring accountability among participating institutions.

Contractual agreements are essential tools for managing partnerships and collaborations in education. They establish clear expectations regarding program objectives, participant roles, resource allocation, and evaluation criteria, thereby mitigating risks and promoting transparency (Bryson, 2018). In the context of international educational programs like the ICP, contractual agreements facilitate effective communication, alignment of goals, and resolution of conflicts across diverse cultural and institutional contexts (Huang & Ring, 2015).

Several international education programs have successfully implemented contractual frameworks to enhance program management and evaluation. For instance, the Erasmus+ program in Europe utilizes contractual agreements to

govern partnerships between universities and promote student and staff mobility across borders (European Commission, 2020). Similarly, initiatives such as the Fulbright Program in the United States leverage contractual agreements to define terms of engagement between scholars and host institutions, ensuring mutual understanding and cooperation (IIE, 2020). These case studies illustrate the diverse applications of contractual agreements in facilitating international collaborations and enhancing educational outcomes.

2. Research Method

The evaluation study adopted a mixed methods approach to capture diverse perspectives and insights into the implementation of the contractual agreement within the ICP. Qualitative methods, including semi-structured interviews with program administrators and faculty, were employed to explore in-depth experiences and perceptions regarding the contractual framework. Quantitative surveys were distributed to students participating in the ICP to gather data on their satisfaction levels, learning outcomes, and perceived benefits of international collaborations.

The contractual agreement used in the study delineated specific responsibilities and expectations among partner institutions participating in the ICP. Key components included provisions on program objectives, financial commitments, intellectual property rights, student exchanges, and evaluation procedures. The agreement was designed to ensure clarity, transparency, and accountability throughout the duration of the program, thereby facilitating effective management and assessment of program outcomes.

Data collection occurred over a period of six months, involving multiple rounds of interviews and surveys with stakeholders engaged in the ICP. Semi-structured interviews were conducted with ten program administrators and faculty members from participating institutions, focusing on their experiences with the contractual agreement and its impact on program coordination and evaluation. Quantitative surveys were administered to 150 students enrolled in the ICP, assessing their perceptions of program effectiveness, cross-cultural learning experiences, and overall satisfaction with the contractual framework. Participants in the study included administrators, faculty members, and students affiliated with institutions participating in the ICP. Purposive sampling was employed to select participants with diverse roles and experiences relevant to the implementation and evaluation of the contractual agreement. Administrators and faculty members were selected based on their involvement in program coordination and oversight, while students were recruited from various academic disciplines to capture a broad spectrum of perspectives on international educational collaborations.

3. Results and Discussion

The evaluation study yielded valuable insights into the effectiveness of the contractual agreement in supporting program assessment and international collaborations within the ICP. Qualitative analysis of interview

data revealed positive perceptions among administrators and faculty regarding the clarity and accountability provided by the contractual framework. Participants highlighted the agreement's role in facilitating program coordination, resolving conflicts, and enhancing communication among international partners.

Quantitative analysis of survey data from students participating in the ICP indicated high levels of satisfaction with the program's overall organization, cross-cultural learning experiences, and opportunities for academic and personal growth. Students expressed appreciation for the structured framework of the contractual agreement, which guided their participation in collaborative projects, cultural exchanges, and joint research initiatives with peers from diverse cultural backgrounds.

Key outcomes of the evaluation study included enhanced program assessment capabilities through systematic data collection and analysis facilitated by the contractual agreement. Program administrators reported improved ability to track student progress, measure learning outcomes, and evaluate the impact of international collaborations on academic achievement and career development. The contractual framework also enabled institutions to benchmark performance metrics and identify areas for continuous improvement in program design and implementation.

Statistical analysis of survey responses indicated that 85% of students surveyed perceived the contractual agreement as effective in promoting intercultural understanding and collaboration within the ICP. Qualitative insights highlighted the transformative impact of international experiences on students' academic and professional development, emphasizing the value of structured partnerships in fostering global competencies and preparing future leaders for an interconnected world.

Detailed Analysis of Implementation

The implementation of the contractual agreement within the ICP involved several stages, beginning with the negotiation and drafting of the agreement by program administrators and legal representatives from partner institutions. The agreement outlined specific terms and conditions governing the scope of collaboration, financial obligations, student mobility, and intellectual property rights. Regular meetings and consultations were held among stakeholders to ensure alignment with program goals and address emerging issues or challenges.

Challenges Encountered During Implementation

Despite its benefits, the implementation of the contractual agreement encountered several challenges that affected program coordination and evaluation. Key challenges included differences in administrative procedures and academic calendars among participating institutions, which posed logistical barriers to student mobility and collaborative activities. Cultural and linguistic differences also influenced communication and decision-making processes, requiring proactive measures to promote understanding and collaboration among international partners.

Success Factors and Lessons Learned

Despite challenges, the implementation of the contractual agreement yielded significant successes in enhancing program coordination and evaluation within the ICP. Success factors included proactive leadership and communication among program administrators, which facilitated timely resolution of issues and alignment of program objectives across diverse cultural contexts. The contractual framework also promoted transparency and accountability among stakeholders, fostering trust and cooperation in the management of international educational partnerships.

Lessons learned from the implementation process underscored the importance of flexibility and adaptability in navigating complex institutional dynamics and cultural differences. By fostering open communication and mutual respect among stakeholders, the contractual agreement facilitated meaningful exchanges of knowledge and experiences among students and educators participating in the ICP. These insights informed recommendations for optimizing the contractual framework to support sustainable international collaborations and enhance educational outcomes in future iterations of the program.

Discussion

The findings of the evaluation study resonate with theoretical frameworks of program evaluation and educational partnerships, highlighting the role of contractual agreements in promoting accountability, transparency, and mutual benefit among participating institutions. By aligning program objectives with stakeholder expectations, the contractual framework facilitated effective management of international collaborations and enhanced educational outcomes for students engaged in the ICP.

Comparative analysis with existing literature on contractual agreements in educational settings underscores the diverse applications and benefits of structured partnerships in fostering global learning experiences. Case studies of similar international education programs demonstrate the transformative impact of contractual frameworks in promoting student mobility, academic exchange, and cultural understanding across borders (Jones & Sallis, 2019). These insights contribute to a broader understanding of best practices and challenges in implementing contractual agreements within global education initiatives.

The implications of this study extend to policy makers, educators, and practitioners involved in designing and managing international education programs. Recommendations for policy development include the adoption of standardized contractual templates and guidelines to facilitate program coordination and evaluation across diverse institutional contexts. Practical implications for practice emphasize the importance of proactive communication, cultural sensitivity, and institutional collaboration in enhancing the effectiveness of contractual agreements in educational partnerships.

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, the implementation of a contractual agreement within the International Class Program (ICP) has demonstrated significant benefits in enhancing program coordination, fostering international collaborations, and promoting cross-cultural learning experiences among students and educators. The evaluation study highlighted the importance of structured frameworks in guiding program management, facilitating transparent communication, and ensuring accountability among participating institutions.

Despite challenges encountered, including logistical complexities and cultural differences, the contractual agreement served as a catalyst for positive outcomes in program assessment and educational quality improvement. By aligning program goals with stakeholder expectations and promoting continuous evaluation, the contractual framework contributed to the success of the ICP in preparing students for global citizenship and leadership roles in an interconnected world.

References

- Alexander, M. and Young, D. (1996) Outsourcing: Where's the Value? *Long Range Planning*, Vol.29, No.5, pp. 116-119.
- Alvesson, M. (2011) *Interpreting Interviews*. SAGE Publications India Pvt Ltd, New Delhi, India
- Alvesson, M. and Sköldbberg, K. (2000) *Reflexive methodology: new vistas for qualitative research*. SAGE, London.
- Alvesson, M. and Sköldbberg, K. (2008) *Reflexive methodology: new vistas for qualitative research*. 2nd, SAGE, London.
- Atiyah, P. S. (1989) *An introduction to the Law of Contract*. Oxford, Clarendon Press.
- Blomqvist, R, Hurmelinna, P. and Seppänen, R. (2005) Playing the collaboration game right—balancing trust and contracting. *Technovation*, Vol. 25 No 3, pp.497-504.
- Brown, T. and Potoski, M. (2004) Managing the Public Service Market. *Public Administration Review*, Vol. 64 Issue 6, pp.656-668.
- Cannon, J.P, Achrol, R.S. and Grundklach, G.T. (2000) Contracts, norms and plural form governance. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, Vol. 28 No. 2 pp. 180- 194.

Cohen N., McKendrick E., editors. (2005) *Comparative remedies for breach of contract*.

Oxford: Hart Publications.

Creswell, J. W. (2003) *Research Design. Qualitative, Quantitative and Mixed Methods Approaches* (2nd ed), Sage, London.

Cronin, J. J. and Taylor S. A. (1992) Measuring service quality: A reexamination and extension. *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 58 (July), pp. 53-66.

Crosby, P. B. (1979) *Quality is free*. McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York.

Dean, A.M. and Kiu C. (2002) Performance monitoring and quality outcomes in contracted services. *International Journal of Quality and Reliability Management*, Vol. 19 Issue 2, pp. 396-413.

Edvardsson, B. (1997) Quality in new service development – key concepts and a frame of reference. *International Journal of Production Economics*, Vol. 52 No. 1/2, pp. 31-46.

Eisenhardt, K. M. (1989) Building Theories from Case Study Research. *Academy of Management Review*, Vol. 14 No. 4, pp. 532-550.

Eisenhardt, K.M. and Graebner, M.E (2007) Theory building from cases: opportunities and challenges. *Academy of Management Journal*, Vol. 50 No. 1, pp. 25–32.

Fill, C. and Visser E. (2000) The outsourcing dilemma: a composite approach to the make and buy decision. *Management Decision* Vol. 38, Issue 1, pp. 43-50.

Garvin, D. (1988) *Managing Quality*. The Free Press, New York.

Glaser, B. and Strauss, A. (1967) *The Discovery of Grounded Theory*. Aldine Publishing Company, Hawthorne, NY.

Goldberg, V.P. (1976) Regulation and Administered Contracts. *The Bell Journal of Economics*, Vol. 7 No. 2, pp 426-448.

Hart, O. and Holmström, B. (1987) *The theory of Contracts*, University

Press, Cambridge.

Johnson, M. (2007) *Stakeholder dialogue for sustainable service*.
Dissertation, 2007:51, Karlstad University Studies, Karlstad.

Johnston, R. and Clark, G. (2005) *Service Operations Management*, Prentice

Hall, London. Juran, J.M. (1988) *Juran on Planning for Quality*. New York,
The Free Press.

Kakabadse, N. and Kakabadse, A. (2000) Critical review –
Outsourcing: a paradigm shift. *Journal of Management Development*,
Vol. 19 No. 8, pp 670-728.

Lincoln, Y.S. and Guba, E. (1985) *Naturalistic Enquiry*. SAGE
Publication, Beverly Hills CA.

Macneil, I.R. (1978b) Contracts: Adjustments of long-term economic
relations under classical, neoclassical, and relational contract law.
Northwestern University Law Review Vol. 72, pp. 854–906.

Macneil, I.R. (1980) *The new social contract, an inquiry into modern contract
relation*.
Yale University Press, New Haven, CT.

Macneil, I.R. (1983) Values in contract: internal and external.
Northwestern University Law Review, Vol. 78, pp.340-418.

Macneil, I.R. (2000) Relational Contract Theory: Challenges and
Queries. *Northwestern University Law Review*, Vol. 94, pp. 877-907.

Malhotra, D. and Murnighan, J.K. (2002) The effects of contracts on
interpersonal trust. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, Vol. 47 No. 3, pp.
534-559.

Merriam, S.B. (1998) *Qualitative Research and Case Study Applications in
Education*. Jossey- Bass, San Francisco, CA.

Miles, M. B. and Huberman, A. M. (2004) *Qualitative Data Analysis*.
SAGE Publications, London.

Mooi, E.A. and Ghosh, M. (2010) Contracts specificity and its

- performance implications. *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 74 (March), pp. 105-120.
- Mouzas, S. and Furmstorm, (2008) Form contract to umbrella agreement. *Cambridge Law Journal*, Vol. 67 No. 1, pp 37-50.
- Narula, R. and Hagedoorn, J. (1999) Innovating through strategic alliances: moving towards international partnerships and contractual agreements. *Technovation*, Vol. 19 No. 5, pp. 283-294.
- Ng, I.C.L. and Nudurupati, S. (2010) Outcome-based contracts in the defence industry: mitigating the challenges. *Journal of Service Management*, Vol. 21 No. 5, pp. 656-674.
- Ng, I.C.L., Maull R. and Yip, N. (2009) Outcome-based contracts as drivers for system thinking and service-dominant logic in service science: evidence from the defence industry. *European Management Journal*, Vol. 27. pp. 377-387.
- Normann, R. (2001) *Reframing Business – When the Map Changes the Landscape*. John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- Normann, R. and Ramirez, R. (1993) 'From Value Chain to Value Constellation: Designing Interactive Strategy'. *Harvard Business Review*, Vol. 71 (July-August):65-77.
- Nystén-Haarala, S., Lee, N. and Lehto, J. (2010) Flexibility in contract terms and contracting processes. *International Journal of Managing Projects in Business*, Vol. 3 No. 3, pp. 462-478.
- Ouchi, W.G. (1977) The relationship between organizational structure and organizational control. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, Vol. 22 Issue 1, pp. 95-113.
- Ouchi, W.G. (1979) A conceptual framework for design of organizational control mechanisms. *Management Science*, Vol. 25 Issue 9, pp. 833-848.
- Parasuraman, A., Zeithaml, V. and Berry, L. (1985) A Conceptual Model of Service Quality and Its Implication for Future Research. *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 49 (Fall) pp. 41-50.

Yin, R.K., (1994) *Case Study Research Designs and Methods* (2nd ed.). Sage Publication, London.

Yin, R.K. (2003) *Case Study Research Designs and Methods* (3rd ed.). Sage Publication, London.

Zeithaml, V., Parasuraman, A. and Berry, L. (1990) *Delivering Quality Service*. The Free Press, New