

Analysis of Legal Protection for Consumers in Property Credit: Promoting the Value of Justice

Imelda Mardayanti ¹, Willy Cahyadi ², Cia Cai Cen ³

¹ STIE Bina Karya; bipublik2020@gmail.com

² STIE Bina Karya; bkcahyadi@gmail.com

³ STIE Bina Karya; caicen.c3@gmail.com

Article Info

Received:

Revised:

Accepted:

Keywords:

*Legal Protection,
consumer,
Property credit*

Abstract

This article analyzes the legal protections afforded to consumers within property credit agreements, emphasizing the critical role of justice in ensuring equitable outcomes. Employing a qualitative research methodology, this study explores the existing legal framework, its practical application, and the challenges faced by consumers in navigating the complexities of property credit. The analysis incorporates scholarly perspectives and recent legal developments to advocate for enhanced consumer protection measures that align with principles of fairness and transparency.

I. Introduction

Property credit, often manifested as mortgage loans, home credit, and other real estate-secured lending products, forms the bedrock of housing markets globally. It empowers individuals and families to achieve the dream of homeownership, facilitating wealth creation and contributing significantly to economic stability. However, the very nature of property credit – its long-term duration, substantial financial commitments, and intricate legal frameworks – exposes consumers to a range of potential risks and vulnerabilities. These vulnerabilities are particularly pronounced in an environment characterized by increasing financial complexity, evolving lending practices, and fluctuating economic conditions (Akimova & Ulitskaya, 2021).

The legal framework governing property credit transactions is designed, in theory, to create a balanced playing field between lenders and borrowers. This framework typically encompasses a diverse range of statutes, regulations, and judicial precedents aimed at ensuring transparency, preventing predatory lending, and providing remedies for consumers who have been harmed by unfair or deceptive practices. Core elements often include mandatory disclosure requirements pertaining to interest rates, fees, and loan terms; restrictions on certain lending practices like high-cost loans and abusive prepayment penalties; and mechanisms for dispute resolution, such as arbitration or court litigation. Despite these legal safeguards, a persistent gap exists between the theoretical protections enshrined in law and the practical realities experienced by consumers. Several factors contribute to this gap. Firstly, the inherent power imbalance between financial institutions and individual borrowers often places consumers at a disadvantage. Lenders, with their specialized expertise, are better equipped to navigate the complexities of property credit transactions than the average consumer. This imbalance can manifest in opaque contract terms, hidden fees, and aggressive sales tactics that exploit consumers' lack of financial literacy and legal knowledge (Nickerson, 2016).

Secondly, information asymmetries plague the property credit market. Consumers frequently lack understanding of the intricate financial instruments & legal obligations associated with mortgage loans and other credit products. While disclosure requirements are intended to address this information gap, they are often insufficient in practice. Complex legal jargon, fine print, and an overwhelming volume of documentation can obscure the true costs and risks of property credit, leaving consumers vulnerable to making ill-informed decisions (Li et al., 2023).

Thirdly, enforcement challenges impede the effective realization of consumer rights. Even when consumers are aware of their legal entitlements, pursuing legal remedies against powerful financial institutions can be a daunting and costly undertaking. Access to affordable legal representation, particularly for low-income borrowers, is often limited. Moreover, the intricacies of property law and financial regulations can make it difficult for consumers to navigate the legal system and prove their claims of fraud, misrepresentation, or unfair lending practices (Mukwevho & Matlala, 2024).

The concept of justice is central to the debate surrounding consumer protection in property credit. Justice, in this context, transcends mere compliance with legal rules and regulations. It encompasses a broader set of ethical considerations related to fairness, equity, and the prevention of unjust enrichment. From a distributive justice perspective, it requires that the benefits and burdens of property credit are allocated equitably, ensuring that vulnerable consumers are not disproportionately burdened by predatory lending practices or unfair contract terms. From a procedural justice standpoint, it demands that consumers have access to fair and impartial dispute resolution mechanisms and their grievances in a transparent and accountable manner. And from a corrective justice perspective, it necessitates that consumers who have been harmed by unfair or deceptive lending practices are adequately compensated for their losses and restored to their rightful position (Auliya & Hartono, 2024).

The consequences of inadequate consumer protection in property credit are far-reaching. At the individual level, it can lead to financial hardship, foreclosure, and the loss of homeownership, disrupting lives and destabilizing communities. At the systemic level, the 2008 global financial crisis, triggered in part by subprime mortgage lending practices, serves as a stark reminder of the devastating consequences that can arise when consumer protection is neglected in the property credit market (Czechowska et al., 2024).

The imperative to strengthen consumer protection in property credit extends beyond the realm of economic efficiency and market stability. Access to safe, affordable, and sustainable housing is increasingly recognized as a basic human right. Ensuring that consumers are adequately protected from unfair and deceptive lending practices is therefore essential for promoting social inclusion, reducing inequality, and fostering a more just and equitable society (Morello, 2024).

This article seeks to understanding of the legal protections available to consumers in property credit, with a particular focus on the role of justice in shaping these protections. It will critically examine the existing legal framework,

identify its strengths and weaknesses, and explore the practical challenges faced by consumers in navigating the complexities of property credit transactions. By drawing on scholarly literature, case law, and expert insights, the article will advocate for enhanced consumer protection measures that align with principles of fairness, transparency, and accountability. Ultimately, it aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of the legal landscape surrounding property credit and to offer practical recommendations for promoting a more just and sustainable housing market for all.

2. Research Method

This study employs a qualitative research methodology to delve deeply into the intricate landscape of legal protections afforded to consumers engaged in property credit transactions. Qualitative research, distinguished by its emphasis on understanding complex social phenomena from the perspectives of those involved, is exceptionally well-suited to explore the nuances, ambiguities, and contextual factors that shape the lived experiences of consumers navigating the property credit system (Khan, 2024).

The selection of a qualitative approach for this research is predicated on the recognition that legal protections for consumers in property credit are not merely abstract legal constructs. Understanding the effectiveness of these protections requires a nuanced appreciation of the ways in which they are interpreted, implemented, and experienced by different stakeholders, including consumers, lenders, regulators, and legal professionals. Qualitative research provides the necessary tools to explore these perspectives, uncover hidden assumptions, and generate rich, contextualized insights that are often missed by quantitative approaches.

The research design for this study is informed by an interpretive paradigm, which posits that reality is socially constructed and that knowledge is always situated within a particular context. This paradigm recognizes that individuals' interpretations of legal rules and regulations are shaped by their prior experiences, cultural values, and social identities. Therefore, the research seeks to understand how consumers perceive and interpret the legal protections available to them, and how these perceptions influence their behavior in the property credit market.

Data Collection Methods

To achieve the research objectives, a multi-faceted approach to data collection will be adopted, incorporating the following methods (Jung, 2024):

- **Document Analysis:** A comprehensive review of primary and secondary legal documents will be conducted. This will include:

- Statutes and Regulations: Examination of relevant federal and local laws and regulations governing property credit transactions, with a focus on provisions related to consumer protection.
- Case Law: Analysis of judicial decisions related to property credit disputes, including cases involving allegations of fraud, misrepresentation, and unfair lending practices.
- Regulatory Guidance: Review of regulatory guidance issued by agencies such as the Financial Consumer Protection Agency and other relevant bodies, providing interpretations of legal requirements and best practices for lenders.
- Industry Codes of Conduct: Examination of voluntary codes of conduct adopted by industry associations, which may provide additional standards for ethical lending practices.
- In-Depth Interviews: Semi-structured interviews will be conducted with a diverse sample of participants, including:
 - Consumers: Interviews with individuals who have experience with property credit transactions, including both those who have successfully navigated the system and those who have encountered difficulties or disputes.
 - Consumer Advocates: Interviews with representatives of consumer advocacy organizations.
 - Legal Professionals: Interviews with lawyers and legal scholars specializing in consumer law and property law, who can offer expert perspectives on the legal framework and its practical application.
 - Industry Representatives: Interviews with lenders and other industry professionals, who can provide insights into lending practices and the challenges of complying with consumer protection laws.
- Review of Scholarly Literature: A thorough review of academic journals, books, and research reports related to consumer protection, property law, financial regulation, and justice. This review will serve to:
 - Identify relevant theoretical frameworks and research methodologies.
 - Synthesize existing knowledge on the topic.
 - Identify gaps in the literature that this study can address.

Sampling Strategy

A purposive sampling will be employed to select participants. The goal is to ensure that the sample includes a diverse range of experiences related to property credit and consumer protection. The specific criteria for selecting participants will include factors such as:

- Socio-economic status
- Cultural background
- Experience with property credit transactions (both positive and negative)
- Geographic location

3. Results and Discussion

Analysis of the Legal and Regulatory Framework

The legal framework for consumer protection in property credit is multifaceted, comprising various laws and regulations designed to govern lending practices, ensure transparency, and provide remedies for aggrieved borrowers. However, several studies, including, highlight the complexities and potential shortcomings of this framework (Juan, 2024).

- **Disclosure Requirements:** While lenders are legally obligated to provide borrowers with comprehensive information about loan terms, interest rates, and fees, the effectiveness of these disclosures is often undermined by information asymmetry. Consumers frequently lack the financial literacy necessary to fully understand the implications of complex loan agreements, rendering disclosures ineffective.
- **Restrictions on Lending Practices:** Certain lending practices, such as predatory lending and discriminatory lending, are prohibited by law. However, enforcement of these prohibitions can be challenging, particularly in the face of sophisticated lending schemes designed to circumvent regulatory scrutiny.
- **Remedies for Borrowers:** Borrowers who have been harmed by unfair lending practices have legal recourse through lawsuits, administrative complaints, or alternative dispute resolution mechanisms. However, access to these remedies is often limited by financial constraints, legal complexities, and power imbalances between borrowers and lenders.

Challenges in Consumer Protection

Despite the existence of legal protections, several challenges persist in ensuring effective consumer protection in property credit. These challenges stem from various factors, including information asymmetry, power imbalances, and enforcement gaps.

Table 1: Common Consumer Complaints in Property Credit

Complaint Type	Frequency	Source
Misleading Advertising	High	YLKI complaint data
Hidden Fees	Medium	Consumer advocacy reports
Predatory Lending Practices	Medium	Regulatory enforcement actions
Foreclosure Abuse	Low	Housing counseling agency data
Contractual Disputes	High	Court records
Construction defects	high	YLKI complaint data

Data on Consumer Complaints

Available data from consumer protection agencies, such as the YLKI (Yayasan Lembaga Konsumen Indonesia), indicate that property-related complaints consistently rank among the most frequent types of complaints received (Deshaini et al., 2021). These complaints often involve issues such as misleading advertising, hidden fees, and contractual disputes.

The Role of Financial Literacy

Financial literacy plays a crucial role in empowering consumers to make informed decisions about property credit (Z. Hasan, 2024). Studies have shown that consumers with higher levels of financial literacy are less likely to fall victim to predatory lending practices and more likely to successfully manage their mortgage obligations. However, financial literacy levels remain low among many segments of the population, particularly among low-income and minority communities (IGN Oka Ariwangsa et al., 2024).

The Impact of Debt Collectors

The use of debt collectors in property credit raises significant consumer protection concerns (Pellandini-Simanyi, 2024). Debt collectors often engage in aggressive and abusive tactics to pressure borrowers into repaying their debts, violating consumer protection laws and causing emotional distress. Recent regulatory guidance has sought to curb these practices, but enforcement remains a challenge (Kurniawan & Yustitiani, 2023).

The Issue of Standard Contract Clauses

Standard contract clauses in property credit agreements often contain provisions that are unfavorable to borrowers. These clauses may limit the borrower's legal rights, impose burdensome obligations, or grant excessive discretion to the lender. Consumer protection laws seek to regulate the use of standard contract clauses to ensure that they are fair, reasonable, and not unduly prejudicial to borrowers (Fiver et al., 2024).

The Importance of Broker Certification

The certification of property brokers is essential for protecting consumers from fraud and misrepresentation. Certified brokers are required to meet certain competency standards and adhere to ethical codes of conduct, providing consumers with greater assurance that they are dealing with knowledgeable and trustworthy professionals (Anwar Fathoni et al., 2025).

Fiduciary Duty and Consumer Protection

The concept of fiduciary duty has been proposed as a means of strengthening consumer protection in property credit. However, the application of fiduciary duty to mortgage lending remains a subject of debate, and its potential impact on consumer protection is uncertain (Saifullah et al., 2025).

The Role of Consumer Advocacy Organizations

Consumer advocacy organizations play a role in protecting the rights and promoting responsible lending practices. These organizations provide education, counseling, and legal assistance to consumers, and they advocate for policy reforms to strengthen consumer protection laws. The findings presented in this section underscore the complexities and challenges of ensuring effective consumer protection in property credit. While the legal and regulatory framework provides a foundation for protecting borrower rights, significant gaps and shortcomings remain. Addressing these challenges requires a multi-faceted approach that includes enhancing financial literacy, strengthening regulatory oversight, facilitating access to justice, and promoting responsible lending practices (Pratiwi et al., 2022).

Discussion

One of the central challenges identified is the persistent problem of information asymmetry. While disclosure requirements are intended to level the playing field by providing borrowers with essential information about loan terms, interest rates, and fees, their effectiveness is limited by the fact that many consumers lack the financial literacy necessary to fully understand the implications of complex loan agreements. This challenge underscores the limits of a purely information-based approach to consumer protection. Simply providing information is not enough if consumers lack the cognitive capacity or the financial knowledge to process and act upon it effectively.

This finding resonates with behavioral economics theories, which highlight the cognitive biases and heuristics that can lead individuals to make suboptimal decisions, even when presented with full and accurate information. For example, the "present bias" can lead borrowers to focus on immediate gratification (i.e., obtaining a loan) while discounting the long-term consequences of high interest rates or burdensome repayment terms. Similarly, the "framing effect" can influence borrowers' perceptions of risk based on how information is presented (Setiyani & Septiani, 2024).

To address the challenge of information asymmetry, it is essential to move beyond traditional disclosure requirements and adopt a more proactive and holistic approach to financial education. This could include:

- **Simplified Disclosure Forms:** Developing standardized disclosure forms that use clear and concise language, avoiding legal jargon and technical terms.
- **Interactive Education Tools:** Creating interactive online tools and educational programs that allow consumers to simulate different loan scenarios and understand the long-term implications of their borrowing decisions.
- **Financial Counseling Services:** Providing access to affordable and unbiased financial counseling services, particularly for low-income borrowers and first-time homebuyers.

Another key finding is the persistent power imbalance between lenders and borrowers. Lenders, with their specialized expertise to navigate the complexities of property credit transactions than the average consumer. This imbalance can lead to unfair contract terms, hidden fees, and aggressive sales tactics that exploit consumers' lack of financial literacy and legal knowledge. This finding underscores the need for stronger regulatory oversight to protect consumers from abusive lending practices (M. M. Hasan, 2025). This could include:

- **Enhanced Enforcement of Consumer Protection Laws:** Increasing resources

for regulatory agencies to investigate and prosecute violations of consumer protection laws.

- **Restrictions on Predatory Lending Practices:** Implementing stricter regulations on high-cost loans, abusive prepayment penalties, and other predatory lending practices.

Even when consumers are aware of their legal rights, pursuing legal remedies against powerful financial institutions can be a daunting and costly undertaking. Access to affordable legal representation, particularly for low-income borrowers, is often limited. Moreover, the complexities of property law and financial regulations can make it difficult for consumers to navigate the legal system and prove their claims of fraud, misrepresentation, or unfair lending practices (Mutaqi et al., 2024). To improve access to justice for consumers in property credit, it is essential to:

- **Provide Legal Aid Services:** Expanding funding for legal aid organizations that provide free or low-cost legal services to low-income borrowers.
- **Promote Alternative Dispute Resolution:** Encouraging the use of alternative dispute resolution mechanisms, such as mediation and arbitration, to resolve disputes between borrowers and lenders in a more efficient and cost-effective manner.
- **Streamline Legal Processes:** Simplifying legal processes and procedures to make it easier for consumers to pursue legal claims against lenders.

Consumer advocacy organizations play a vital role in protecting the rights of borrowers and promoting responsible lending practices. These organizations provide education, counseling, and legal assistance to consumers, and they advocate for policy reforms to strengthen consumer protection laws. To strengthen the role of consumer advocacy organizations, it is essential to:

- **Provide Funding and Support:** Increasing funding and support for consumer advocacy organizations, enabling them to expand their services and reach more consumers.
- **Foster Collaboration:** Fostering collaboration between consumer advocacy organizations, regulatory agencies, and industry stakeholders to promote responsible lending practices.
- **Empower Consumers:** Empowering consumers to advocate for their own rights by providing them with the resources, and knowledge support.

Consumer protection in property credit is not solely a matter of legal compliance; it also involves ethical considerations and responsible lending practices. Lenders have a moral obligation to act in the best interests of their clients and to avoid engaging in practices that could harm them financially. To promote ethical lending practices,

it is essential to:

- **Develop Industry Codes of Conduct:** Developing industry codes of conduct that promote ethical lending practices and discourage predatory lending.
- **Providing training and education to lenders on ethical lending practices and consumer protection laws.**
- **Incentivize Responsible Lending:** Incentivizing lenders to engage in responsible lending practices by rewarding them for providing affordable and sustainable loans to borrowers.

Consumer protection in property credit is not just a domestic issue; it is a global concern. Many countries around the world have implemented consumer protection laws to regulate lending practices and protect borrowers from fraud, misrepresentation, and unfair lending. To enhance consumer protection in property credit on a global scale, it is essential to:

- **Sharing best practices and lessons learned among countries on consumer protection in property credit.**
- **Harmonize Regulatory Standards:** Harmonizing regulatory standards to promote consistency and reduce opportunities for regulatory arbitrage.
- **Promote International Cooperation:** Promoting international cooperation among regulatory agencies to combat cross-border fraud and unfair lending practices.

The future of consumer protection in property credit will likely be shaped by several factors, including technological innovation, demographic shifts, and evolving economic conditions. As technology continues to transform the financial industry, new challenges and opportunities will arise for consumer protection. For example, the rise of online lending platforms and algorithmic credit scoring raises concerns about transparency, fairness, and discrimination. To address these challenges, it is essential to:

- **Adapting legal and regulatory frameworks to keep pace with technological innovation and address emerging risks.**
- **Promote Digital Financial Literacy:** Promoting digital financial literacy to help consumers navigate the complexities of online lending platforms and protect themselves from fraud and cybercrime.
- **Foster Innovation:** Fostering innovation in consumer protection by developing new technologies and tools to empower consumers and promote responsible lending.

4. Conclusion

This article has explored the multifaceted landscape of consumer protection in property credit, examining the legal frameworks designed to safeguard borrowers, the challenges that persist in ensuring effective protection, and the imperative of upholding justice in financial transactions. Through analysis of scholarly literature, available consumer data, and existing regulations, the study reveals that while significant strides have been made in establishing legal protections, critical gaps remain that hinder the equitable functioning of the property credit market. The central argument presented is that consumer protection in property credit transcends mere regulatory compliance; it is fundamentally a matter of justice. Upholding principles of fairness, transparency, and accountability is essential for creating a sustainable housing market where consumers are empowered to make informed decisions and are protected from exploitation. The findings underscore that information asymmetry, power imbalances, and limited access to justice continue to undermine the effectiveness of existing legal protections, leaving vulnerable borrowers at risk of predatory lending practices and financial hardship. The analysis emphasizes the limitations of relying solely on disclosure requirements to address information asymmetry. Furthermore, the persistent power imbalance between lenders and borrowers necessitates stronger regulatory oversight to prevent abusive lending practices and ensure that consumers are not disadvantaged by unfair contract terms. The discussion highlights the important role of consumer advocacy organizations in protecting the rights of borrowers and promoting responsible lending practices. These organizations provide essential services, such as education, counseling, and legal assistance, and they advocate for policy reforms to strengthen consumer protection laws. Recognizing the global nature of consumer protection, the study emphasizes the need for international cooperation in sharing best practices and harmonizing regulatory standards to combat cross-border fraud and unfair lending practices. As the property credit market continues to evolve, driven by technological innovation and changing economic conditions, it is imperative that legal and regulatory frameworks adapt to address emerging challenges. The rise of online lending platforms and algorithmic credit scoring raises concerns about transparency, fairness, and discrimination, necessitating proactive measures to safeguard consumers from new forms of exploitation.

References

- Akimova, M. S., & Ulitskaya, N. Y. (2021). INVESTMENT AND CREDIT MECHANISM OF MORTGAGE LENDING OF COMMERCIAL REAL ESTATE. *Scientific Review Theory and Practice*, 784-793. <https://doi.org/10.35679/2226-0226-2021-11-3-784-793>
- Anwar Fathoni, M., Dwi Priyatno, P., Faizi, Suko Wiryanto, F., Rachbini, W., & Suryani. (2025). Unlocking barriers and strategies of halal certification for micro and small enterprises in Indonesia: Analytic network process approach. *Problems and Perspectives in Management*, 23(1), 169-180. [https://doi.org/10.21511/ppm.23\(1\).2025.13](https://doi.org/10.21511/ppm.23(1).2025.13)
- Auliya, U., & Hartono, D. (2024). The Existence of Supervision by Non-Governmental Organizations in Providing Legal Protection for Consumers with Bad Credit. *International Journal of Social Science and Human Research*, 7(09). <https://doi.org/10.47191/ijsshr/v7-i09-44>

- Czechowska, I. D., Paduszyńska, M., Jędrzejczak, R., & Sadowski, A. (2024). INFORMATION AS A CONSUMER PROTECTION INSTRUMENT ON THE CONSUMER CREDIT MARKET. *Technological and Economic Development of Economy*, 30(5), 1352–1371. <https://doi.org/10.3846/tede.2024.21496>
- Deshaini, L., Evi Oktarina, & Rusmini. (2021). PERAN YAYASAN LEMBAGA KONSUMEN INDONESIA (YLKI) DAN BADAN PERLINDUNGAN SENGKETA KONSUMEN (BPSK) DALAM PERLINDUNGAN KONSUMEN DI INDONESIA. *Disiplin: Majalah Civitas Akademika Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Hukum Sumpah Pemuda*, 27(4), 276–287. <https://doi.org/10.46839/disiplin.v27i4.27>
- Fiver, R. P. G., Yuhelson, Y., & Prasetyo, D. A. (2024). Existence of Banking Credit Agreement with Standard Clauses Related to the Implementation of Consumer Law Protection in Indonesia. *Jurnal Indonesia Sosial Sains*, 5(05), 1110–1114. <https://doi.org/10.59141/jiss.v5i05.1118>
- Hasan, M. M. (2025). Private lenders and borrowers' internal control-related private information. *Advances in Accounting*, 68, 100808. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adiac.2025.100808>
- Hasan, Z. (2024). IMPACT OF DIGITAL FINANCIAL LITERACY ON CONSUMER PROTECTION, INVESTOR SECURITY, AND FINANCIAL TRANSACTIONS IN INDONESIA. *International Journal of Islamic Economics and Finance Research*, 7(2 December), 55–77. <https://doi.org/10.53840/ijieffer165>
- IGN Oka Ariwangsa, Komang Widhya Sedana Putra P, & Kadek Wulandari Laksmi P. (2024). The Impact of Financial Literacy on Investment Decisions: The Moderating Role of Financial Technology. *UPI YPTK Journal of Business and Economics*, 9(3), 16–22. <https://doi.org/10.35134/jbe.v9i3.274>
- Juan, D. (2024). Tinjauan Yuridis Problematika Pengaturan Short-Term Accomodation (Rumah Susun) di Indonesia. *JURNAL MINUTA*, 6(02), 86–98. <https://doi.org/10.24123/minuta.v6i02.6951>
- Kurniawan, A. S., & Yustitiantingtyas, L. (2023). Consumer Data Protection Against Online Loan Debt Payments. *Jurnal Justiciabelen*, 6(1), 52. <https://doi.org/10.30587/justiciabelen.v6i1.6170>
- Li, L., Huang, H., Huang, S., & Chen, S. (2023). Do Financial Linkages Ease the Credit Rationing of Forest Rights Mortgage Loans? Evidence from Farm Households in Fujian Province, China. *Sustainability*, 15(4), 3160. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15043160>
- Morello, F. (2024). Addressing Legal Loopholes in Consumer Credit Markets. *Interdisciplinary Studies in Society, Law, and Politics*, 3(2), 1–3. <https://doi.org/10.61838/kman.isslp.3.2.1>
- Mukwevho, T. D., & Matlala, R. (2024). The Protection and Enforcement of Disability Rights in South Africa: Challenges and Prospects. *Journal of Law, Society and Development*, 11. <https://doi.org/10.25159/2520-9515/15866>
- Mutaqi, A. S., Salura, P., & Lake, R. C. (2024). Ensuring Fairness: Strategies for Providing Affordable Housing for Low-Income Communities in Urban Indonesia. *EMARA: Indonesian Journal of Architecture*, 9(1), 46–57. <https://doi.org/10.29080/eija.v9i1.2085>
- Nickerson, D. (2016). Asset Price Volatility, Credit Rationing and Rational Lending Discrimination. *International Journal of Economics and Finance*, 8(10), 140. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ijef.v8n10p140>
- Pellandini-Simanyi, L. (2024). Consumer Credit and Debt. In *Sociology*. Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/obo/9780199756384-0269>
- Pratiwi, R., Prabowo, M. S., Nugroho, M., & Wardhani, W. N. R. (2022). Fraud Risk in Peer Lending Fintech Transactions: The Role of Consumer Protection Regulation in Indonesia.

International Journal of Social Science and Business, 6(4), 469–477.
<https://doi.org/10.23887/ijssb.v6i4.46511>

Saifullah, A., Adhyputra, M. F., & Fikri, Z. (2025). Implikasi Klausula Eksonerasi terhadap Perlindungan Konsumen dalam Kontrak Financial Technology Peer-to-Peer Lending. *Jurnal Restorasi Hukum*, 7(2), 236–256. <https://doi.org/10.14421/zmpxcr40>

Setiyani, R., & Septiani, T. (2024). How to address heuristics and cognitive biases in tax decision-making: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Socioeconomics and Development*, 7(2), 181–192. <https://doi.org/10.31328/jsed.v7i2.5726>