# The Role of International Cooperation in the Enforcement of Anti-Terrorism Laws

**Gracesy Prisela Christy[1*], Wahyudi[2], Tomi Apra Santosa[3], Mira Nila Kusuma Dewi[4],**
[1] Universitas Kristen Indonesia Paulus, Indonesia
[2]Universitas Komputer Indonesia, Indonesia
[3]Akademi Teknik Adikarya, Indonesia
[4] Universitas Indonesia Timur, Indonesia
*Corresponding email: gpchristy@ukipaulus.ac.id

***Article Info***
*Received: 2025-01-12*
*Revised: 2025-02-21*
*Accepted: 2025-03-31*

***Keywords:***
*International Cooperation, Law Enforcement, Terrorism, International Law*

***Abstract***
*This study examines the role of international cooperation in anti-terrorism law enforcement in response to the complexity of terrorism threats that are transnational and transnationally organized. Using normative research methods that focus on the analysis of laws and regulations and international legal instruments, this study examines the effectiveness of cooperation mechanisms between countries in strengthening law enforcement and preventing acts of terrorism. The findings of the study show that international cooperation, through intelligence exchange, legal harmonization, extradition, and technical capacity building of law enforcement officials, makes a significant contribution to strengthening the effectiveness of anti-terrorism law enforcement. However, challenges in the form of differences in political interests, domestic legal constraints, and state sovereignty issues are still the main obstacles. This study recommends strengthening inclusive cooperation mechanisms based on respect for international norms as a strategic step to deal with the dynamics of terrorism threats globally. Thus, international cooperation is not only a tool of law enforcement but also a key element in terrorism prevention strategies.*

## I. Introduction

Terrorism has undergone a significant transformation in the last two decades, marked by an increase in its intensity, complexity, and global reach (Mishra, 2023). The 21st century saw a surge in terror acts that not only threatened a country's national security, but also international stability. Major terror attacks such as 9/11 in the United States, the London bombings in 2005, and the attacks in Paris in 2015 marked a new era in which perpetrators of terrorism have transnational networks, cross-border funding, and use information technology to recruit and spread violent ideologies (Cronin, 2006; Hoffman, 2017). This threat is exacerbated by the emergence of organized non-state actors, such as Al-Qaeda and ISIS, that are capable of operating militant cells in various countries while challenging state sovereignty through asymmetric forces (Sapaev et al., 2024; Mitchell, 2016).

In addition, globalization and the development of communication technology have expanded the reach of terrorism propaganda and facilitated the process of radicalizing individuals in various parts of the world (Marsudianto et al., 2024). The phenomenon of "lone wolf terrorism" is proof that acts of terror are not always carried out by large networks, but also by individuals who are inspired online through social media and extremist forums (Neumann, 2013). On the other hand, weak border controls, socio-economic inequality, and protracted conflicts in certain areas are also factors driving the increase in recruitment of members of terrorist groups. Therefore, the escalation of the threat of terrorism in the 21st century requires a collective and cross-border approach to countermeasures, including in aspects of law enforcement and international cooperation (United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime [UNODC], 2018 ; Antai et al., 2025).

Terrorism crimes in the 21st century exhibit increasingly complex characteristics and challenge national and international law enforcement systems. One of the main characteristics of modern terrorism is its cross-border nature, where the perpetrators, funding, logistics, and targets are spread across various jurisdictions(Ginting & Talbot, 2023). This cross-border character makes terrorism a global threat that cannot be handled unilaterally by one country alone. In fact, in many cases, perpetrators of terrorism crimes exploit loopholes in the weaknesses of the legal system in certain countries as a hiding place, training, or money laundering (Gasztold & Szlachter, 2022). This shows that handling terrorism crimes requires a structured legal and diplomatic cooperation framework between countries in the world (Duffy, 2005; Lubin, 2017).

In addition to cross-country, terrorism is also transnational and organized. Transnational crime refers to activities that cross national borders and involve well-organized networks or groups, as seen in the organizational structure of groups such as ISIS or Al-Qaeda(Mawlood, 2020). They have leadership hierarchies, secret communication systems, diverse resources, and interconnected networks in different parts of the world. This organized character makes them more adaptive, flexible, and resistant to traditional security pressures (Tran & District, 2020). The existence of global terrorism networks also shows that there is a close relationship between terrorist activities and other transnational crimes such as arms smuggling, human trafficking, and illegal financing, which further complicates countermeasures (Shelley, 2005; Bassiouni, 2002). Therefore, law enforcement's approach to terrorism must consider the dynamics of these networks and not simply view them as domestic criminal acts (Criminologia, 2018).

Domestic law enforcement often faces limitations in dealing with transnational terrorism crimes. This is mainly due to the limits of national legal jurisdiction that are unable to reach the perpetrators and activities of terrorism that are outside the sovereign territory of a country(Hailemariam, 2020). For example, when perpetrators of terrorism conduct military training in other countries, provide funding through the international financial system, or coordinate with cross-border terrorist cells, domestic law enforcement officials do not have the direct authority to make arrests, seizures of assets, or investigations outside their jurisdiction (Bianchi, 2006). The absence of an extradition treaty or legal cooperation framework with other countries is also the main obstacle in bringing perpetrators to the green table. These limitations make many enforcement efforts ineffective, especially when dealing with decentralized terrorist networks spread across different parts of the world (Marcinko, 2018; Alshaer et al., 2024).

In addition to jurisdictional limitations, many countries also experience technical and institutional constraints in dealing with the threat of international terrorism (Tran & District, 2020). Inequality in intelligence capacity, limited surveillance technology, and weak coordination between agencies are serious obstacles in anticipating and tackling cross-border terror acts. In some countries, the legal system has not been able to adapt to new patterns of terrorism crimes, such as the use of cyberspace for recruitment, propaganda, and fundraising (Rosand et al., 2008). This causes legal loopholes that are used by terrorist groups to evade detection and law enforcement. Therefore, without close international cooperation and harmonization of regulations between countries, domestic law enforcement will continue to be in a reactive position and lagging behind the dynamics of global terrorism crimes (Saul, 2006).

International cooperation is a key element in strengthening the effectiveness of law enforcement against cross-border and organized terrorism crimes(Galloway et al., 2013). In this context, the state cannot act alone, considering that terrorism networks often operate transnationally, with perpetrators, funding, and logistical support spread across various jurisdictions. Through international cooperation mechanisms such as extradition, exchange of intelligence information, joint training of law enforcement officials, and alignment of national legal frameworks with international standards, countries can increase their collective capacity in preventing and cracking down on terrorism crimes (UNODC, 2018). In addition, the existence of international organizations such as Interpol, the Financial Action Task Force (FATF), and the United Nations Counter-Terrorism Committee (CTC) plays a strategic role in facilitating collaboration between countries to track the movements of terror actors, block funding, and identify complex cross-border networks (Kibret, 2017).

Furthermore, international cooperation not only functions in the operational aspect, but also creates a normative consensus that strengthens the legitimacy of law enforcement efforts. Through multilateral agreements such as *the International Convention for the Suppression of the Financing of Terrorism (1999)* and *the United Nations Global Counter-Terrorism Strategy*, countries are encouraged to adopt a legal approach that balances security and respect for human rights. This is important so that law enforcement actions do not violate the principles of global justice and are not abused for certain political interests (Saul, 2008). In today's era of globalization and digital connectivity, the effectiveness of countering terrorism is highly dependent on international solidarity based on the principles of trust, transparency, and shared responsibility (Tran & District, 2020).

Research by Bianchi (2006) highlights that cooperation between countries is an inevitable normative response to the limitations of domestic jurisdiction in dealing with global threats such as terrorism. The study confirms that the establishment of an international legal framework after the September 11, 2001 attacks has encouraged many countries to adopt international conventions and expand the authority of law enforcement in multilateral frameworks. Meanwhile, a UNODC report (2020) shows that mutual legal assistance (MLA) mechanisms, bilateral extradition agreements, and cross-border intelligence exchanges have been proven to increase the effectiveness of the investigation and prosecution of terrorism cases in various conflict areas. These studies generally underscore that successful counterterrorism is largely determined by how strong the commitment and coordination between countries is.

Research by Saul (2006) discusses how international cooperation also faces serious challenges related to legal harmonization, differences in human rights

standards, and issues of political trust between countries. In certain cases, there is a tendency to use international cooperation for unilateral political interests, which can undermine the spirit of collaboration and cause inequality in the application of the law against perpetrators of terrorism. This is confirmed by a study from Mackenzie & Davis (2015), which found that countries in the African and Southeast Asian regions are often under diplomatic pressure to follow the security agendas of superpowers without considering the local context. Therefore, although international cooperation is an important pillar in law enforcement against terrorism, there needs to be principles of equality, transparency, and human rights protection that are used as a common reference in its implementation. The findings of the study demonstrate the importance of a holistic approach that emphasizes not only security, but also global justice and accountability. Based on this, this study aims to analyze the role of international cooperation in strengthening law enforcement against terrorism crimes

## 2. Research Method

This research uses a normative legal research method that focuses on the study of positive legal norms, both sourced from national and international legal instruments. This approach aims to analyze the relationship between various legal regulations that govern international cooperation in law enforcement against terrorism crimes. The research was conducted through a search of various legal instruments such as international conventions, bilateral agreements, UN Security Council resolutions, and national laws governing counter-terrorism. In addition, an analysis of legal doctrine and decisions of international judicial institutions is also carried out to explore relevant legal principles. This method was chosen because it is in accordance with the character of the research which aims to examine the normative aspects of cooperation between countries in law enforcement.

Within the framework of this normative method, the conceptual approach and the legislative approach are used simultaneously. The conceptual approach is used to examine the basic meaning and principles of international cooperation in public international law and how these principles are applied in the context of law enforcement against terrorism. Meanwhile, a legislative approach is used to examine the synchronization between national law and international provisions in facilitating repressive actions against transnational terror perpetrators. With this approach, it is hoped to gain a deep understanding of the extent to which international legal norms have been adopted in the national legal system as well as the factors that affect the effectiveness of the implementation of international cooperation in combating terrorism.

## 3. Results and Discussion

### The Existence of an International Legal Framework in Countering Terrorism

The existence of an international legal framework in countering terrorism is the main foundation in coordinating the global response to transnational threats. Terrorism, which often involves non-state actors and transnational networks, has encouraged the international community to establish legal norms and instruments that are both binding and non-binding. This effort is based on the realization that no single country is able to deal with terrorism unilaterally (Bianchi, 2006). Therefore, the formulation and implementation of an international legal framework is crucial in strengthening collaboration between governments in terms of prevention, investigation, and enforcement of terrorism crimes (Suprunova et al., 2024).

The United Nations plays a central role in shaping the international legal framework against terrorism. Through the Security Council, the United Nations has adopted important resolutions, such as Resolution 1373 (2001), which obliges all countries to prevent the financing of terrorism, freeze terrorist assets, and strengthen international cooperation in extradition and law enforcement (UN Security Council, 2001). This resolution creates a binding obligation for member states, while encouraging the establishment of *a Counter-Terrorism Committee (CTC)* to monitor its implementation. This step is an important milestone in the evolution of international law that actively intervenes in the domestic policies of countries to address global threats. To date, there are more than 19 international conventions that specifically regulate various aspects of terrorism, such as plane hijacking (1963), terrorist bombing (1997), and terrorism financing (1999). One of the most influential is the International Convention for the Suppression of the Financing of Terrorism (1999), which requires states to criminalize the financing of terrorist activities and strengthen financial reporting mechanisms (UN Treaty Collection, 1999). These conventions demonstrate the commitment of the international community to establish a comprehensive and mutually supportive legal system in order to break the chains of funding and movement of international terrorist groups (Levchuk & Union, 2002).

While supporting the strict crackdown on terrorism, the international legal framework still takes into account human rights principles, such as the prohibition of torture (*non-refoulement*) and the right to a fair trial. For example, the *European Convention on Human Rights* (ECHR) and *the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights* (ICCPR) remain valid in cases of extradition of terrorism suspects (Saul, 2006). This shows that law enforcement against terrorism must not ignore the principles of universal justice. Therefore, a balance between security interests and respect for human rights is an essential element in the design of a global legal

framework. The existence of an international legal framework encourages countries to harmonize and adopt international norms into their national legal systems(Wibisono & Kusumasomantri, 2020). Many countries have amended or adjusted their terrorism laws to align with international provisions, including in the criminalization of terrorism financing, the use of surveillance technology, and the establishment of intelligence cooperation units. According to UNODC (2020), the adoption of these norms has improved the effectiveness of terrorism investigation and prosecution in some developing countries, although challenges such as institutional capacity and corruption remain significant constraints.

Although the international legal framework has evolved rapidly, its implementation at the national level often faces obstacles. Differences in legal systems, interpretations of the concept of "terrorism", and political sensitivity in international cooperation are real challenges (Mackenzie & Davis, 2015). Some countries are reluctant to share intelligence data for reasons of sovereignty or political distrust, while others face technical and administrative obstacles in implementing the convention's provisions. This creates a gap between internationally agreed norms and actual practice on the ground. Taking into account the complexity of the global threat of terrorism, the existence of an international legal framework provides a strong legal basis for cross-border collaboration(Bailliet, 2019). While there are still challenges in harmonization and implementation, international legal instruments remain an important tool for strengthening law enforcement capacity, expanding the reach of jurisdiction, and ensuring that perpetrators of terror do not escape accountability. In the future, the active role of the state in internalizing and operationalizing international provisions will be key to maintaining global security in a sustainable manner (Hailemariam, 2020).

**Effectiveness of the Implementation of International Cooperation in Countering Terrorism**

The effectiveness of the implementation of international cooperation in countering terrorism greatly determines the success of global efforts to deal with cross-border threats. This cooperation not only covers aspects of information exchange and law enforcement coordination, but also the integration of prevention and rehabilitation strategies. According to Cronin (2011), effective collaboration can inhibit the mobility of terrorist networks and cut off funding sources, thereby suppressing the operational capabilities of terror groups. However, this success depends heavily on the alignment of policies and operational mechanisms between countries. Several case studies show that joint operations between countries in tackling terrorism have succeeded in dismantling large networks and reducing terror incidents. For example, cooperation between the US, Europe, and Middle Eastern countries in anti-terror operations over the past two decades has resulted in the arrest of key figures and the destruction of terrorist logistics infrastructure (Ganor, 2016). This success shows that intelligence coordination and legal cooperation can strengthen collective responses, but still require trust and transparency between parties (Naz et al., 2022).

The effectiveness of international cooperation is influenced by a number of important factors, including a common perception of the threat of terrorism, adequate institutional capacity, and the existence of a clear and binding legal mechanism (Byman, 2015). Countries with compatible legal systems tend to be more prone to extradition and provide mutual legal assistance. In addition, international forums such as the UN Counter-Terrorism Committee (CTC) and Interpol also play an important role in harmonizing cooperation policies and practices between countries (UNODC, 2020). Despite many successes, there are also obstacles that reduce the effectiveness of international cooperation. Differences in interpretation of the definition of terrorism, concerns over national sovereignty, and bureaucratic barriers often hinder data exchange and extradition (Jones, 2017). In addition, the issue of human rights violations in handling terror suspects also raises resistance to overly intensive cooperation (Saul, 2006). These barriers have led some terrorism networks to take advantage of legal loopholes and policy differences to evade prosecution (Rosand et al., 2008).

To increase the effectiveness of cooperation, countries and international organizations are beginning to adopt technological innovations and more responsive mechanisms. For example, the use of big data analytics systems and real-time intelligence-sharing platforms has accelerated threat detection and prevention (Ferguson, 2018). In addition, the development of clearer common protocols and the protection of human rights in legal cooperation are also increasingly a major concern to strengthen trust between countries (Mackenzie & Davis, 2015). Overall, the effectiveness of the implementation of international cooperation in counter-terrorism is highly dependent on the synergy between legal frameworks, institutional capacity, and political trust between countries(Levchuk & Union, 2002). While significant progress has been made, continuous improvement is needed to overcome structural and normative barriers. Policies that focus on transparency, human rights protection, and the use of cutting-edge technology will strengthen the role of international cooperation as the main instrument in maintaining global security (Cronin, 2011; UNODC, 2020).

**Efforts to Strengthen International Cooperation in Countering Terrorism**

Strengthening international cooperation in countering terrorism is an increasingly urgent need in the current era of globalization, given the cross-border and multidimensional characteristics of terrorism. Countries collectively face challenges that cannot be effectively addressed by domestic action alone. According to Schmid (2011), strengthening cross-border cooperation through bilateral and multilateral agreements is the main strategy to strengthen mutual security and close the operational loopholes of terrorist networks. One of the main efforts to strengthen cooperation is through the harmonization and development of an international legal framework that binds countries in the fight against terrorism. Legal instruments such as UN Security Council Resolution No. 1373 (2001) require member states to strengthen domestic legal systems and strengthen cooperation mechanisms such as extradition and mutual legal assistance (Mutua, 2013). This legal harmonization

facilitates coordinated action and prevents the existence of legal loopholes that are often exploited by terrorist groups (Alshaer et al., 2024).
International and regional organizations play a central role in strengthening cooperation between countries in the field of counter-terrorism. The United Nations, Interpol, ASEAN, and the European Union, for example, serve as a platform for policy coordination and operations, training providers, and intelligence exchange centers (Bures, 2014). In addition, these forums also develop common standards and protocols that facilitate countries in implementing integrated countermeasures measures. Strengthening efforts are also focused on increasing the capacity of countries, especially in regions with limited resources. Training of law enforcement officials, development of intelligence technology, and provision of technical and financial assistance are integral parts of international cooperation (LaFree & Dugan, 2017). Technologies such as cyber surveillance systems, big data analytics, and real-time communication mechanisms accelerate response to threats and strengthen coordination between law enforcement agencies across countries (Mawlood, 2020).

Strengthening cooperation also requires an increase in the level of trust and transparency between countries, given the sensitivity of intelligence data and national sovereignty issues. Initiatives such as data protection agreements and mutual oversight mechanisms have been developed to address state concerns regarding information misuse (Fitzgerald, 2019). The trust built allows for a faster and more comprehensive exchange of information, thereby strengthening the effectiveness of handling terrorism collectively. Despite many efforts to strengthen cooperation, challenges such as differences in political interests, legal constraints, and human rights issues are still the main obstacles. Therefore, the development of cooperation mechanisms that are more inclusive and oriented towards respect for international norms is important to maintain the continuity of this collaboration (Pillar, 2011). In the future, innovations in security diplomacy and cross-sectoral collaboration are believed to be key in optimizing the role of international cooperation in dealing with the growing threat of terrorism (Lubin, 2017).

**The Contribution of International Cooperation to the Effectiveness of Anti-Terrorism Law Enforcement**

International cooperation has become a crucial element in improving the effectiveness of anti-terrorism law enforcement in the current era of globalization. Terrorism as a transnational threat demands a collective response from countries to overcome the weaknesses that exist in their respective domestic legal systems (Mendelsohn, 2016). By exchanging information and resources, countries can strengthen their capacity to prevent, detect, and act on terrorist activities more comprehensively. One of the main contributions of international cooperation is the ease of exchange of intelligence and data related to terrorist networks(Mishra, 2023). Cross-border intelligence cooperation allows law enforcement to access strategic information that is difficult to obtain unilaterally, such as funding patterns, personnel movements, and attack plans (Neumann, 2013). According to Bures (2014), this

collaboration is very helpful in accelerating the process of identifying and arresting terror perpetrators and stopping actions before they occur (Sapaev et al., 2024). International cooperation also contributes to legal harmonization and facilitates the extradition process of suspected terrorists who cross national borders. The existence of bilateral and multilateral agreements allows countries to provide mutual legal assistance to each other, thereby narrowing the space for perpetrators of terrorism crimes (Mutua, 2013). Strengthening this legal mechanism makes law enforcement more effective and internationally integrated. International cooperation opens up opportunities for countries, especially those with limited capacity, to obtain training, funding, and technology to strengthen anti-terrorism law enforcement(Mawlood, 2020). This support helps build the technical capabilities of law enforcement officials in identifying, investigating, and prosecuting terrorism cases professionally (LaFree & Dugan, 2017). In addition, this collaboration promotes standardization of procedures that contribute to the overall quality of law enforcement.

International cooperation not only enhances enforcement aspects, but also strengthens terrorism prevention through a coordinated joint approach. For example, joint initiatives in border surveillance, terrorist financing control, and counter-radicalization campaigns are part of an important contribution to international cooperation (Cronin, 2011). This integrated approach closes the loopholes that terrorist groups often exploit in planning actions and recruiting new members(Mitchell, 2016). Overall, the contribution of international cooperation to the effectiveness of anti-terrorism law enforcement is significant and multidimensional. This collaboration strengthens information networks, legal harmonization, technical capacity building, and sustainable prevention. Therefore, the development of more intensive and inclusive cooperation between countries must continue to be encouraged as part of global security policies that are adaptive and responsive to the evolution of terrorism threats (UNODC, 2020; Mendelsohn, 2016).

## 4. Conclusion

From the results of this study, it can be concluded that international cooperation, through intelligence exchange, legal harmonization, extradition, and technical capacity building of law enforcement officials, makes a significant contribution to strengthening the effectiveness of anti-terrorism law enforcement. However, challenges in the form of differences in political interests, domestic legal constraints, and state sovereignty issues are still the main obstacles. This study recommends strengthening inclusive cooperation mechanisms based on respect for international norms as a strategic step to deal with the dynamics of terrorism threats globally. Thus, international cooperation is not only a tool of law enforcement but also a key element in terrorism prevention strategies.

## Refrences

Alshaer, H., Muhamad, M. H., & Rajamanickam, R. (2024). Mutual legal assistance and extradition under anti-money laundering laws: a comparative analysis between Palestine and Malaysia. *Journal of Money Laundering Control*, *27*(2), 413–426. https://doi.org/10.1108/JMLC-03-2023-0053

Antai, G. O., Okonji, C. I., & State, O. (2025). *Balancing National Security and Human Rights : A Critical Analysis of Nigeria ' s Anti- Terrorism Laws Kampala International University , Uganda*. *11*(1), 287–299.

Bailliet, C. M. (2019). Research handbook on international law and peace. *Research Handbook on International Law and Peace*, 1–543. https://doi.org/10.4337/9781788117470

Criminologia, D. (2018). *word or shield ? 147*, 67–88.

Galloway, T., Cole, M., & Lewis, C. (2013). ORE Open Research Exeter. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 0–48.

Gasztold, A., & Szlachter, D. (2022). The Role of Anti-Terrorist Coordination Centers in the Security Systems of Germany and Poland. A Comparative Analysis. *Studia Politologiczne*, *63*, 40–60. https://doi.org/10.33896/SPolit.2022.63.3

Ginting, J., & Talbot, P. (2023). Fundraising Aspect of International Terrorism Organization in ASEAN: Legal and Political Aspects. *Lex Scientia Law Review*, *7*(1), 1–30. https://doi.org/10.15294/lesrev.v7i1.60074

Hailemariam, G. (2020). *Counter-Terrorism and International Human Rights: An Assessment from the Ethiopian Anti-Terrorism Law Perspective Los derechos humanos y la lucha contra el terrorismo: una evaluación desde la ley antiterrorista etíope*. 1–62. www.revistaryd.derecho.uncu.edu.ar

Kibret, Z. (2017). The Terrorism of 'Counterterrorism': The Use and Abuse of Anti-Terrorism Law, The Case of Ethiopia. *European Scientific Journal, ESJ*, *13*(13), 504. https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2017.v13n13p504

Levchuk, O., & Union, E. (2002). *LEGAL AND INSTITUTIONAL ASPECTS OF COMBATING RADICALISM AND TERRORISM*. *2002*, 132–152.

Lubin, A. (2017). International Conference on Cyber Conflict, CYCON. In *International Conference on Cyber Conflict, CYCON* (Vols. 2017-June).

Marcinko, M. (2018). The Evolution of UN Anti-Terrorist Conventions towards the Universal Treaty-Based Model of Combating Terrorism. *Groningen Journal of International Law*, *6*(1), 59. https://doi.org/10.21827/5b51d53791adf

Marsudianto, D. N., Bakir, H., & Law, C. (2024). *JOSS : Journal of Social Science Reconstruction of Criminal Law to Address Cyber Terrorism in Indonesia*. *3*(11), 1962–1968.

Mawlood, S. (2020). *Chapter 2 Legal Relations: From Theory To Practice the Role of International Cooperation in Counteraction of Terrorism, Extremism and Other Moderate Groups*. *1*, 86–95. https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0663-208X

Mishra, A. (2023). *Anti-Terrorism Laws and Human Rights in Indian Constitution*. *1*(2), 1–10.

Mitchell, J. (2016). Transnational Organised Crime in Indonesia: the Need for International Cooperation. *Brawijaya Law Journal*, *3*(2), 176–199. https://doi.org/10.21776/ub.blj.2016.003.02.05

Naz, U., Farooq, H., & Haider, S. H. (2022). *ISSN-e : 2790-9379*. *3*(1), 32–40.

Rosand, E., Millar, A., Ipe, J., & Healey, M. (2008). *The UN Global Counter-Terrorism Strategy and Regional and Subregional Bodies: Strengthening a Critical Partnership is a senior fellow at the Center on Global Counterterrorism Cooperation in New York and a nonresident fellow at New*. *October*.

Sapaev, G., Abdullaeva, M., Alimova, M., Ibrayev, A., & Turdieva, D. (2024). Countering Global Extremism and Terrorism: the Role of International Organizations. *Juridicas CUC*, *20*(1), 223–238. https://doi.org/10.17981/juridcuc.20.1.2024.09

Suprunova, I., Kovalchuk, V., Lytvynchuk, O., Levchenko, I., & Lysak, K. (2024). International Organizations and their Role in Combating Terrorism and Terrorist Financing. In *Economic Affairs (New Delhi)* (Vol. 69, pp. 179–186). https://doi.org/10.46852/0424-2513.1.2024.20

Tran, H. Q., & District, T. X. (2020). International Cooperation to Combat Money Laundering in the Southeast Asia: A Narrative Perspective in Vietnam. *Journal of Law, Policy and Globalization*, *96*, 76–85. https://doi.org/10.7176/jlpg/96-09

Wibisono, A. A., & Kusumasomantri, A. R. (2020). Assessing the expectations and limitations of asean-eu counter-terrorism cooperation. *Journal of ASEAN Studies*, *8*(1), 61–80. https://doi.org/10.21512/jas.v8i1.6171